

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,540	09/02/2003		Stephen Eliot Zweig	3975	
27052	7590	09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN		_	MARC, MCDIEUNEL		
224 VISTA DE SIERRA LOS GATOS, CA 95030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3661	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/654,540	ZWEIG, STEPHEN ELIOT					
	omee Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		McDieunel Marc	3661					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 S	September 2003 .						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>all</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)⊠								
12)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 10/654,540

Art Unit: 3661

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

1. This is in response to a letter for patent filed on September 02<sup>nd</sup>, 2003, in which

claims 1-7 re presented for examination. Claims 1-7 are pending in the letter.

2. The specification should be updated, because application 10/047,574 becomes

U.S. Pat. No. 6,658,325 B2. Correction is required in the next communication.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements filed on September 02<sup>nd</sup>, 2003 comply with the provisions of MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits. A signed copy of

the form is attached.

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the word "invention".

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

**Double Patenting** 

Application/Control Number: 10/654,540

**Art Unit: 3661** 

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re*Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,658,325 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Dependent claims not specifically rejected are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/654,540 Page 4

**Art Unit: 3661** 

1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,658,325 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the application encompasses the claim of the patent because it is broader. The only missing language is "non Internet".
- 8. Claim 7 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,658,325 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the application encompasses the claims of the patent because it is broader.

Dependent claims not specifically rejected are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Friday, August 26, 2005

MM/